1 Introduction

The comments given are the results of the meeting in Munich, 19-21/02/2011. But first a general term definition:

- mass reversal: The first WD formed is not the initial most massive star

2 Binary grid: $\beta = 1.0$

This comparison was done between the results of Silvia, Joke and Ashley. Also a figure is included with the comparison with Jokes results and Nickis results.

2.1 $M_{1,i}$ vs $M_{2,i}$

General: Silvia more mass reversals in comparison with Joke (probably has something to do with initial $\dot{M}$ lower in Jokes case) For the rest where the differences small

2.2 $M_{1,i}$ vs log a_i

- around: log a = 2.5, M1 = 4-6: Silvia: empty, Ashley: few, Joke: Filled
- around: log a = 2-2.5, M1 = 1-2: Regime of mass reversals and also other systems: Joke misses these, Silvia has this
- around: log a = 1, M1 = 2-6: Silvia: mass reversals, Ashley and Joke: miss this region.
- around: log a = 1, M1 = 1-2: Mass reversals: Joke less then Silvia

2.3 M_{wd} vs M_{comp}

First WD

- Small area left → Joke vs. Silvia: towards smaller masses
- mass reversals: Joke vs. Silvia: less but at similar places
- M_{WD} high, M_{comp} 4-6: differs (empty)

Second WD

- $M_{WD,1}$: 0.4-05: gap HeWD and COWD: few systems (different companion masses): Joke: systems CE, Silvia: RLOF
- left in Jokes Case: difference stabel-unstable mass transfer (see discussion M_{WD} vs log a)

- totally left: Joke nothing, silvia has something there
- area above: Silvia: more a line, Joke: more full

2.4 M_{wd} vs log a

First WD

- totally left: triangle: Joke lower then Silvia
- log a =2.5, M_{WD} = 0.4: feature: appears lower in Jokes case vs. Silvia & Asley (CE vs. RLOF)
- log a = 0, M_{WD} =0.1-0.2: Silvia: Yes, Joke: No
- left: drop-feature: Ashley: goes towards lower a (probably mergers inside CE)
- low blob: Only mass reversals: Silvia, Joke also other systems: Not only mass reversals

Second WD

- Asley: goes down to 0.5 (prbably mergers), Joke: gap (stable band: RLOF $\rightarrow$ CE), Silvia: Nothing from log a =1
- Below middle Silvia & Joke: gap (Silvia: more narrow), Ashley: No gap (strange line, can also be seen in Jokes case)
- Higher gap: Joke: clear, Silvia: a bit, Ashley: very clear

3 Binary grid: $\beta = 0.5$

This comparison was done between the results of Silvia and Joke.

3.1 $M_{1,i}$ vs $M_{2,i}$

3.2 $M_{1,i}$ vs log a_i

3.3 M_{wd} vs M_{comp}

3.4 M_{wd} vs log a